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Project Overview

• **Problem:** Overbank Flooding along Natalie Creek

• **Project Area:** Vicinity of 153rd St. and Lavergne Ave. (City of Oak Forest) to 146th St. and Pulaski (Village of Midlothian)

• **Design Consultant:** Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. (BMcd)

• **Scope of Work:** Develop Flood Mitigation Alternatives for the Natalie Creek Project Area

• **Overall Goal:** Develop & Implement an Effective, Efficient, and Economical viable Flood Mitigation Solution for the affected Area
Mr. Robertson! Are you ready to take on the Natalie Creek Flooding Problem in Midlothian?

Yes Sir!, No Sir!, I don’t know Sir!, I will find out Sir!

Stormwater Management Section

Project Manager
Floodlothian Midlothian Community Group

Community Group Leader – Ms. Helen Lekavich
Project Area
Project Area (Orig. DWP Phase I Project)
Flooding History

• From 1981 to 2014, the recorded Natural Hazard Flood Events the area experienced were:
  – One – 100 Year Storm, Two – 50 Year Storms, Two – 25 Year Storms, Three – 5 Year Storms, & Three – 2 Year Storms
  – The remainder were 1 Year or Less Storms out of a total of 31 events

• In 2014, the Village of Midlothian experienced flooding five times in a nine week period
  – Only 1 Year or Less Storms occurred during the nine week period

• This History reflected that the Village was flooding starting at smaller storm events
Flooding History (Con’t)

10 Year Storm Event

100 Year Storm Event
Flooding along the Creek at 149th Street between Kilpatrick & Knox – 7/12/2014
The 149th Street (concrete channel) between Kilpatrick & Knox during Dry Weather
Coordination w/affected Stakeholders/Project Partners

- MWRDGC & BMcD Project Team worked with the following groups:
  - City of Oak Forest
  - Village of Midlothian (RainReady Midlothian Steering Committee)
  - Robinson Engineering, Ltd. (Midlothian’s City Engineer)
  - United States Army Corps of Engineers
  - The Center for Neighborhood Technology

- List Con’t:
  - Floodlothian Midlothian Community Group & Affected Area Residents
  - Forest Preserve District of Cook County
  - Cook County Department of Transportation & Highways
  - United States Geological Survey
Resident Concerns

• Study Results established in MWRDGC’s Little Calumet River Detail Watershed Plan (Alternative NTCR-G1) & Information provided by the Affected Stakeholders/Project Partners were key in H&H model revision & verification,

• And in helping the Project Team to fully understand the Residents’ expectations & concerns
  – Reduce the Frequency of Flooding
  – George Dunne National Golf Course Flooding Effects on the Project Area
  – Possible Central Park Detention Basin Modifications
Improvements Evaluated

• BMcD developed a list of 96 potential flood control improvements which involved:
  – Diversion Conduits
  – Detention Ponds
  – Culvert Upsizing
  – Creek Modifications

• Potential flood control improvements were incrementally added to the model in ever increasing flood control benefit configurations until a potential 100 Year Storm protection Alternative was achieved
Investigation (Model) Results

• Existing system provides less than a 2-year level of storm protection

• Channel Improvements are Required
  – Remove Channel Restrictions (including restrictive retaining walls)
  – Restore/Stabilize Degraded (eroded) Channel Banks
  – Remove Sediment Build-Up in Culverts

• Culvert Improvements are Required
  – Culverts located in the City of Oak Forest have adequate open area to convey flow downstream
  – Culverts located in the Village of Midlothian are typically smaller (restrictive)
  – Restrictive culverts are severely affecting the flow of water through Natalie Creek and are contributing to the flooding being experienced by the Village
Left: Sediment Build Up at Laporte Ave.
Right: Non-Engineered Rip Rap at Lavergne Ave.
Left: Restrictive 149th St. Culverts
Right: Restrictive Kilpatrick Ave. Culvert at 149th St.
Investigation Results (Con’t)

• George Dunne National Golf Course
  – Model was done to simulate the golf course as a forested area in order to compare the effects on Natalie Creek
    • Model showed that the golf course had almost no effect on the water surface elevation (WSEL) downstream
    • Primary reason for flooding is due to runoff from developed areas downstream of the golf course and Central Park Detention Basin

• Central Park Detention (CPD) Basin
  – The CPD Basin was designed for a 100-year event
    • Modeling was performed to simulate the effects of lowering the spillway. Results showed only slight impact on flood elevations downstream.
    • Other improvements such as culvert enlargements and detention will better mitigate the flooding effects of minor storms such as the 2-year storm event
Left: George Dunne National Golf Course
Right: Central Park Detention Basin
Alternative Comparison

- From the 96 Potential Improvements, Six (6) Alternatives were Developed & Investigated
  - Cost ranged from $5.8 to $56.1 Million (M)
  - Storage (Detention Pond) Alternatives ranged from 3 to 150 Acre-Ft (50M Gallons)
  - Total Structures Protected ranged from 208 to 391

- Recommended Alternative will provide a 25-year level of Storm Protection
  - Estimated Construction Cost - $8.3 M ($9 M including O&M costs)
  - Structures Protected – 237
  - Conveyance (Channel) Improvements – 5500 Feet
  - Storage (Detention Pond) – 3 Acre-Ft (1M Gallons)
  - Upsizing of Culverts at six different locations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PDR Summary</th>
<th>10-Yr. LOS</th>
<th>25-Yr. LOS</th>
<th>30-Yr. LOS</th>
<th>45-Yr. LOS</th>
<th>50-Yr. LOS</th>
<th>100-Yr. LOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sediment Removal</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
<td>2 Culverts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upsizing Culverts</td>
<td>5 Culverts</td>
<td>6 Culverts</td>
<td>6 Culverts</td>
<td>5 Culverts &amp; 1 Open Channel</td>
<td>5 Culverts &amp; 1 Open Channel</td>
<td>5 Culverts &amp; 1 Open Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank Stabilization</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
<td>3000 LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Widening</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
<td>200 LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Reshaping</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
<td>2300 LF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detention Requirements</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.9 Acre-Ft</td>
<td>67.9 Acre-Ft</td>
<td>88.8 Acre-Ft</td>
<td>98.6 Acre-Ft</td>
<td>152.4 Acre-Ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversion Conduits</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 inches</td>
<td>60 inches</td>
<td>60 inches</td>
<td>30 &amp; 60 inches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structures Protected</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated # of Property Acquisitions Required</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 Vacant Land</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$5.8 M</td>
<td>$9 M</td>
<td>$24.2 M</td>
<td>$32.1 M</td>
<td>$35.3 M</td>
<td>$56.1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B/C Ratio</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

• **Keys to a Successful Preliminary Engineering Study:**
  – Coordination w/affected Stakeholders/Project Partners, especially Local Community Groups
  – Establishing the right Goal/Mission to meet all affected Parties’ Expectations

• **Disclaimer** – This is not an approved project. The study is nearing completion and we hope to move forward with final design this summer.
Questions?

Contact Information:

Cedric Robertson, P.E.
Senior Civil Engineer
Stormwater Management
MWRDGC
111 E. Erie St., Chicago, IL 60611
(312) 751-3257
RobertsonC@mwrdo.org