

Building Communities. Improving Lives.

What shape is your rain? A case study of precipitation distributions

Isaac Schrock | 2023 IAFSM | Tinley Park, IL

Outline

- A. What is rainfall-runoff modeling?
- B. Introduce case study watershed, context, and the challenge:
 - 1. Why do different hydrologic studies produce different peak flow estimates?
 - 2. Which distribution should be used?
- C. Precipitation distribution drives peak flow (#1)
- D. Introduce common precipitation distributions
- E. Example: use calibration to select distribution
- F. Comparison peak flow rates for the case study watershed (#2)
- G. Final thoughts on precipitation distributions





Hydrologic Model Characteristics

- Structure
 - Empirical (<u>SCS Curve Number</u>, regression equations, machine learning)
 - Conceptual
 - Physical
- Spatial Structure
 - Lumped (HMS, proprietary software)
 - Distributed
- Temporal Representation
 - Event-based
 - Continuous simulation
- Parameter Specification
 - Deterministic
 - Stochastic

Rainfall-runoff models simulate land surface processes at a watershed scale to produce a runoff hydrograph



Case Study Watershed

- 2.8 mi² drainage area
- Fully urbanized (commercial and singlefamily residential)
- Creek has a Zone AE floodplain and floodway
- No consistent flow monitoring data
- History of flooding



The Context

Over a 2-year period: Reconcile and manage simultaneous Hydrologic and Hydraulic studies and applications in the watershed

- FEMA re-study of hydrology and re-map of the floodplain
 - Effective FIS vs Preliminary FIS
- Watershed study
- Construction of CIP projects

Hydrologic and Hydraulic studies shaped each project Different consultants working on each study

The Challenge – Different Peak Flow Estimates

Hydrologic Study	Hydrology Approach (Software)	1% Event Peak Flow (cfs)1
Effective FIS	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-1)	2,760
Watershed Study	Rainfall-Runoff (proprietary)	4,110
Preliminary FIS – Recommended	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-HMS)	1,341

1 – Measured at outfall of watershed, with consistent tailwater assumptions





Why the difference in 1% Event flows?

Hydrology Methods

- All studies used NRCS TR-55
 - Loss Method Curve Number? 80, 87
 - Transform Method Peak Rate Factor? 484? 300? Lag Time?
 - Precipitation Distribution? Atlas 14 Temporal 1st quartile, 24-hour? Atlas 14 Nested, 24-hour?





Comparison of 1% Annual Chance Event Peak Flow Rate (cfs)





Outline

- A. What is rainfall-runoff modeling?
- B. Introduce case study watershed, context, and the challenge:
 - 1. Why do different hydrologic studies produce different peak flow estimates?
 - 2. Which distribution should be used?
- C. Precipitation distribution drives peak flow (#1)
- **D.** Introduce common precipitation distributions
- E. Example: use calibration to select distribution
- F. Comparison peak flow rates for the case study watershed (#2)
- G. Final thoughts on precipitation distributions



- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75) aka "Huff Distributions"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

Historical Precipitation Distributions

SCS/NRCS Type I, II, III, IV Distributions

- Based on rainfall frequency maps from TP40 (Weather Bureau 1961)
- Development process outlined in TP-149 (SCS 1973)
- Retire the Type II distribution

National Engineering Handbook, Part 640, Chapter 4 "Little documentation is available that describes the development of the Type II and other legacy rainfall distributions. Study of what is available leads to the conclusion that their use be discontinued in areas covered by NOAA Atlas 14 data."

NRCS publication (Merkel, et al) notes that SCS Type distributions are being replaced with Atlas 14 data and distributions.

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75) aka "Huff Distributions"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

RAINFALL FREQUENCY ATLAS OF THE MIDWEST



- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration



- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration



- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration



- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

Atlas 14 data coverage (Precipitation Frequency Data Server)

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75), "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - ▶ 6-, 12-, 24-, 96-hour durations
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - ► Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

Figure 4-71: NOAA Atlas 14 Volume 2 Region, Rainfall Distribution Regions

Key takeaways

- Angel and Markus (Bulletin 75) aka "Huff"
 - Critical duration analysis is required. Storm definition lends accuracy to precipitation distributions.
- NOAA Atlas 14
 - Temporal (1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th quartiles)
 - Method lacks guidance, clarity, and storm definition methods do not give the user confidence in applying the method
 - Nested (aka frequency storm)
 - Variable duration, often 24-hours
 - Ohio Valley and Neighboring States (Regions A, B, C and D)
 - 24-hour duration

Nested and Regions A, B, C, & D are similar distributions and produce similar results

Outline

- A. What is rainfall-runoff modeling?
- B. Introduce case study watershed, context, and the challenge:
 - 1. Why do different hydrologic studies produce different peak flow estimates?
 - 2. Which distribution should be used?
- C. Precipitation distribution drives peak flow (#1)
- D. Introduce common precipitation distributions
- **E.** Example: use calibration to select distribution
- F. Comparison peak flow rates for the case study watershed (#2)
- G. Final thoughts on precipitation distributions

Which distribution is the right one to use for floodplain mapping and infrastructure planning/design?

Distribution selection by precipitation gage data

A low probability, high intensity, 1-hour event was present in the local precipitation records (depth/intensity 0.2% annual chance event recurrence interval)

Com	parison of Obser	ved and S	Simulated	storm ev	vents with	500-year	(0.2% Ar	nual Cha	nce Even	t)	
	Observed										
	Storm	Simulated Storms									
Precipitation Depth	Observed	Atlas 14, Volume 8 Precipitation Frequency Server									
Precipitation		Illinois State Water Survey, Bulletin 75 Section					5 Section				
Distribution	Observed		Atlas 14	Nested D	istribution			5, H	uff Distrib	ution	
	~500-year or										
Probability of storm	0.2% annual										
occurrence	chance event	500-year or 0.2% annual chance event									
							1-hour	2-hour	3-hour	6-hour	24-hour
Storm Duration							(1st	(1st	(1st	(1st	(3rd
(hours)	~1-hour	1-hour	2-hour	3-hour	6-hour	24-hour	quartile)	quartile)	quartile)	quartile)	quartile)
	4.2 (1-hour),										
Storm Depth (inches)	4.9 (Total)	4.2	5.4	6.2	7.6	9.9	4.2	5.4	6.2	7.6	9.9
Maximum											
Precipitation Intensity											
- 5 minute increment											
(inch/hour)	~7.8	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	14.6	13.9	g	7	4.3	1.1
Maximum											
Precipitation Intensity											
- 1 hour increment											
(inch/hour)	~4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.5	1.1
//											
Peak flow rate (cfs)1	4387	4081	4891	5246	5708	6070	3730	4016	4127	3582	1812
1 - Same HEC-HMS model parameters used for all simulations (Loss, Transform), except distribution.											

Huff Critical Duration method...basin response + storm duration = 1 peak flow

Atlas 14 Nested method...longer storm duration = higher peak flow

Key takeaways

- Key takeaways
 - Both the Atlas 14 Nested 1-hour and Huff critical duration produced reasonable estimates of peak flow for the observed 1-hour (0.2% depth/intensity) event.
 - Storm duration matters for the Atlas 14 Nested distribution. The 2hour, 3-hour, 6-hour, 24-hour severely over-estimated peak flows
 - Use the Atlas 14 Region A, B, C and MSE1-6 distributions with caution. They requires a 24-hour storm duration, generating substantial peak flows.

Outline

- A. What is rainfall-runoff modeling?
- B. Introduce case study watershed, context, and the challenge:
 - 1. Why do different hydrologic studies produce different peak flow estimates?
 - 2. Which distribution should be used?
- C. Precipitation distribution drives peak flow (#1)
- D. Introduce common precipitation distributions
- E. Example: use calibration to select distribution
- F. Comparison peak flow rates for the case study watershed (#2)
- **G.** Final thoughts on precipitation distributions

The Challenge – Different Peak Flow Estimates

Hydrologic Study	Hydrology Approach (Software)	1% Event Peak Flow (cfs) ¹				
Effective FIS	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-1)	2,760				
Watershed Study	Rainfall-Runoff (proprietary)	4,110				
Preliminary FIS – Recommended	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-HMS)	1,341				
HRG Study ² (Huff Critical Duration)	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-HMS)	2,227				
HRG Study ² (Atlas 14 Nested, 3-hour)	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-HMS)	2,972				
Revised Preliminary FIS (Atlas 14 Nested, 3-hour)	Rainfall-Runoff (HEC-HMS)	3,028				
1 – Measured at outfall of watershed, with consistent tailwater assumptions						

2 – Study was based on same HEC-HMS model and parameters as Preliminary FIS model

HR Green recommended either the Huff critical duration, or the Atlas 14 Nested precipitation distribution with a duration that reflects the critical duration of the basin be used.

Ultimately, the Atlas 14 Nested, 3-hour distribution was the consensus choice.

Final recommendations

- Consider basin size and response time when selecting Atlas-based precipitation distributions (temporal/Nested/Region A-B-C can produce unreasonable results in smaller/larger watersheds).
- Consider use of a Huff distribution, or at least a Huff critical duration study to select storm duration for Atlas-based distribution.
- Use gage or precipitation data from the watershed to inform selection of precipitation distribution.
- Do not arbitrarily select an Atlas-based precipitation distribution for your next Hydrology and Hydraulics study.
- Look for Atlas 15 data to be delivered sometime between 2023-2026

References

- 1. NOAA Atlas 14, volume 8. https://www.weather.gov/media/owp/oh/hdsc/docs/Atlas14_Volume8.pdf
- 2. NRCS National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, Chapter 4 Storm Rainfall Depth and Distribution, February 2023
- 3. Preliminary and Effective Flood Insurance Studies and Hydrology Reports, FEMA, several dates and authoring entities.
- 4. US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey, <u>http://ga.water.usgs.gov/edu/watercycle.html</u>, February 2023
- 5. Future Conditions Flood Mapping, ASFPM Flood Science Center CTP Information Exchange, July 21, 2022
- 6. Runoff model (reservoir). <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Runoff_model_(reservoir)</u>, February 2023
- 7. Feldman, A.D., USACE, Hydrologic Modeling System HEC-HMS Technical Reference Manual, March 2000
- 8. Hossain, S., et al., A Comparison of Continuous and Event-Based Rainfall-Runoff (RR) Modelling Using EPA-SWMM, *Water*, March 24, 2019, February 2023
- 9. Huff, F.A., and Angel, J.R., Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the Midwest, Midwest Climate Center NOAA, Illinois State Water Survey Illinois Department of Energy and Natural Resources, 1992
- 10. Angel, J.R., and Markus, M., Precipitation Frequency Study for Illinois, Illinois State Water Survey, 2020
- 11. Merkel, W., and Fox Moody, H., NOAA Atlas 14 rainfall for Midwest and Southeast States, NOAA, 2015
- 12. Sitterson, J., et al., USEPA, An Overview of Rainfall-Runoff Model Types, September 2017
- 13. Smith, A., NOAA Atlas 14 Rainfall Depths, NRCS Rainfall Distributions, and Dimensionless Unit Hydrographs, State of Minnesota
- 14. Wilson, J.P., and Simon, S. Rainfall Distributions, MfSMA Conference.

Questions

Please contact Isaac Schrock, <u>ischrock@hrgreen.com</u> with any additional questions or comments.

Final recommendations

- Consider basin size and response time when selecting Atlas-based precipitation distributions (temporal/Nested/MSE can produce unreasonable results in smaller/larger watersheds).
- Consider use of a Huff distribution, or at least a Huff critical duration study to select storm duration for Atlas-based distribution.
- Use gage or precipitation data from the watershed to inform selection of precipitation distribution.
- Do not arbitrarily select an Atlas-based precipitation distribution for your next Hydrology and Hydraulics study.
- Look for Atlas 15 data to be delivered sometime between 2023-2026

