



Floodplain and Stormwater Management

The School Springs Mitigation and Restoration Dave Kraft, PE, CFM Gabe Powers March 14, 2023







School Springs Mitigation and Restoration

- Site History and Planning
- Mitigation as Restoration Funding
- Stream Mitigation Framework
- Project Design and Permitting
- Project Implementation and Success





Site History and Planning

1872 Atlas







Site History and Planning

School Springs Historical Stream Signatures 👗



Pre-Project Conditions











A Function-Based Framework for Stream Assessment & Restoration Projects, EPA 843-K-12-006









A Function-Based Framework

for Stream Assessment & Restoration Projects

EPA 843-K-12-006 » May 2012



EVEL AND CATEGORY	PARAMETER	MEASUREMENT METHOD	MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	EXISTING CONDITION OF REFERENCE REACHES		EXISTING CONDITION OF EXISTING REACHES		PREDICTED POST-RESTORATION CONDITION (PROPOSED REACHES)		
				VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING	VALUE		RATING
1. Hydrology	Channel Forming	Calculate CFD	Hydrologic Model 1-yr and 2-yr peak discharge (Q)	R1largely contains ±100-yr	Functioning	Existing channel largely	Not	P1 1.5-yr Q = 15.5 cfs	F	unctioning
	Discharge (CFD)			event, R2/3 1.5-yr	_	contains ±100-yr event	functioning	P2 1.5-yr Q = 17.0 cfs		-
								P3 1.5-yr Q = 15.8 cfs		
								P4 1.5-yr Q = 54.6 cfs		
	Precipitation	Calculate RCN	Calculate subbasin RCN using TR-55 methods	All subbasins (1-6) 80	Functioning	All subbasins (1-6) 80) Functioning	All subbasins (1-6)	80 F	unctioning
	Runoff/			On-site subbasins (3-6) 79		On-site subbasins (3-6) 79	j	On-site subbasins (3-6)	77 (i	improved)
	Relationship	Hydrologic Model Condition	Hydrologic Model 100-yr Peak Discharge (Q)	N/A	Functioning	100-yr Q = 428 cfs	Functioning	100-yr Q = 416 cfs	F	unctioning
									(i	improved)
Legend Stream Signature Year 1998 1938 1939 1872 School Springs MCCD_Sites 0.0275055 0.11 0.165 0.22			E3-2 	Hev	and A		Contracts Display Condition Plan Display Struction Display Struction Display	MeHenry	Соп	nty
				Hev	and As	ssociates, In	C.	CONSERVATION	DIST	RICT

CONSERVATION DISTRICT

LEVEL AND CATEGORY	PARAMETER	MEASUREMENT METHOD	MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	EXI	EXISTING CONDITION OF REFERENCE REACHES		EXISTING CONDITION OF EXISTING		PREDICTED POST-RESTORATION	
					\/ALLIE	PATING	VALUE	PATING		
2. Hydraulic	Floodplain	Hydraulic Model Conditions	HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model	R1	Bankfull Event = ±100-yr	Varies (F	1 Bankfull Event = ±100-yr	Not	Bankfull Event = ±1.5-yr	Functioning
	Connectivity	(Stage vs. Discharge)	Very incised: channel carries the 100-yr discharge	R2	Bankfull Event = ±1-yr	Not		functioning		
			Not incised: channel carries the bankfull discharge	R3	Bankfull Event = ±1-yr	Functioning				
						R2/3				
						Functioning				
		Bank Height Ratio (BHR)	BHR=LBH/dmbkf	R1	Average = 1.1	Varies (F	1 E1 Average = 0.9	Not	P1 Average = 1.1	Functioning
			LBD = Low Bank Height	R2	Average = 0.6	Not	E2 Average = 0.6	functioning	P2 Average = 0.9	
			dmbkf = bankfull depth	R3	Approximate = 0.6	Functioning	E3 Average = 0.9		P3 Average = 1.2	
						R2/3			P4 Average = 0.9	
						Functioning				
		Entrenchment Ratio (ER)	ER = flood prone width/bankfull width	Exis	sting overbanks are relatively	/ Varies (F	1 ±1	Not	P1 Average = 5.7	Functioning
			flood prone width = width of channel at 2x bankfull depth	flat	•	Not		functioning	P2 Average = 4.3	
			bankfull width = width of channel at bankfull depth			Functioning			P3 Average = 5.3	
						R2/3			P4 Average = 5.5	
						Functioning				
	Flow Dynamics	Hydraulic Model Conditions:	HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model	R1	Average = 4.7 lbs/ft s	Functioning	E1 Average = 3.3 lbs/ft s	Functioning	P1 Average = 4.7 lbs/ft s	Functioning
		Stream Power (2-yr)		R2	Average = 0.5 lbs/ft s		E2 Average = 6.9 lbs/ft s		P2 Average = 5.0 lbs/ft s	(improved)
				R3	Approximate = 0.5 lbs/ft s		E3 Average = 1.7 lbs/ft s		P3 Average = 6.6 lbs/ft s	
									P4 Average = 3.0 lbs/ft s	
		Hydraulic Model Conditions:	HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model	R1	Average = 4.9 ft/s	Functioning	E1 Average = 3.0 ft/s	Functioning	P1 Average = 3.3 ft/s	Functioning
		Bankfull Velocity		R2	Average = 0.4 ft/s		E2 Average = 2.7 ft/s		P2 Average = 3.4 ft/s	
				R3	Approximate = 0.5 ft/s		E3 Average = 1.9 ft/s		P3 Average = 4.0 ft/s	
									P4 Average = 3.6 ft/s	
		Hydraulic Model Conditions:	HEC-RAS Hydraulic Model	R1	Average = 1.1 lbs/sqft	Functioning	E1 Average = 0.9 lbs/sqft	Functioning	P1 Average = 1.1 lbs/sqft	Functioning
		Bed Shear Stress (2-yr)		R2	Average = 1.1 lbs/sqft		E2 Average = 1.9 lbs/sqft		P2 Average = 1.1 lbs/sqft	(improved)
				R3	Approximate = 1.1 lbs/sqft		E3 Average = 1.0 lbs/sqft		P3 Average = 1.4 lbs/sqft	
									P4 Average = 0.8 lbs/sqft	
	Groundwater/	Install Bank Piezometers	Field Measurements	N/A	A	Functioning	N/A	Functioning	To be assessed post-	Anticipated:
	Surface Water								construction.	functioning
	Exchange									(improved)



EVEL AND CATEGORY	PARAMETER	MEASUREMENT METHOD	MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	EXISTING CONDITION OF REFERENCE REACHES		EXISTING CONDITION OF EXISTING REACHES		PREDICTED POST-RESTORATION CONDITION (PROPOSED REACHES)	
				VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING
3 – Geomorphology	Bank Migration/ Lateral Stability	Meander Width Ratio (MWR)	MWR = belt width/bankfull width belt width = distance from the apex of one meander bend to the next meander bend, measured perpendicular to the fall line of the valley bankfull width = width of channel at bankfull depth	R1 = 0.24 R2 = 0.13 R3 Approximate = 0.15	Functioning	E1 = 0 E2 = 0 E3 = 0	Not functioning	P1 = 2.80 P2 = 2.13 P3 = 3.97 P4 = 1.07	Functioning
		Bank Erosion Hazard Index (BEHI)	Field Assessment	R1 Low R2 Moderate R3 Moderate	Functioning	Low	Not functioning	To be assessed post- construction.	Anticipated: functioning
		Cross Section Assessment	Field Observation	Minimal bank migration	Functioning At- Risk	Minimal to no bank migration	Not functioning	To be monitored post- construction.	Anticipated: functioning
		Bank Pins	Field Measurements	Minimal bank migration	Functioning At- Risk	Minimal to no bank migration	Not functioning	To be assessed post- construction.	Anticipated: functioning
	Riparian Vegetation	Buffer Width	Estimate using aerial imagery, design to improve	R1 = 45 ft R2 = 150+ ft R3 = 150+ ft	Functioning	$E1 = \pm 0 \text{ ft}$ $E2 = \pm 0 \text{ ft}$ $E3 = \pm 0 \text{ ft}$	Not functioning	P1 = 150+ ft P2 = 150+ ft P3 = 150+ ft P4 = 150+ ft	Functioning
		Buffer Composition (Mean C and FQAI)	Field Survey	Mean C = 2.53 FQAI = 23.77 (MCCD Non Ag Area Survey 8/14/18)	Functioning	Mean C = 1.11 FQAI = 9.53 (MCCD Ag Area Survey 8/14/18)	Functioning	Mean C = FQAI = To be assessed using final MCCD planting plan.	Functioning (improved)
		NRCS Visual Assessment Protocol	Estimate using aerial imagery, design to improve	Fair	Functioning	Poor	Not functioning	Good/Excellent	Functioning
	Bed Form Diversity	Percentage Riffle/Pool	Non-existent in existing conditions, design in regards to hydraulics and downstream reach conditions	R1 = 4.5 Riffles/100LF R2 = 4.6 Riffles/100LF R3 = 4.6 Riffles/100LF	Functioning	E1 = 0 E2 = 0 E3 = 0	Not functioning	P1 = ±4.5 Riffles/100LF P2 = ±4.5 Riffles/100LF P3 = ±4.5 Riffles/100LF P4 = ±4.5 Riffles/100LF	Functioning
		Pool to Pool Spacing	Non-existent in existing conditions, design in regards to hydraulics and downstream reach conditions	R1 = 4.5 Pools/100LF R2 = 4.6 Pools/100LF R3 = 4.6 Pools/100LF	Functioning	E1 = 0 E2 = 0 E3 = 0	Not functioning	P1 = ±4.5 Pools/100LF P2 = ±4.5 Pools/100LF P3 = ±4.5 Pools/100LF P4 = ±4.5 Pools/100LF	Functioning
		Depth Variability	Non-existent in existing conditions, design in regards to hydraulics and downstream reach conditions	R1 = ± 1 R2 = ± 1.2 R3 = ± 1.2	Functioning At- Risk	E1 = 0 E2 = 0 E3 = 0	Not functioning	P1 = 1.5+ P2 = 1.5+ P3 = 1.5+ P4 = 1.5+	Functioning
	Bed Material Characterization	Size Class Pebble Count Analyzer	Qualitative summary to include existing bed material as present on site, located by MCCD. Design of proposed channel location will resume use of existing bed material.	Varying size bed material, riffle structures formed	Functioning	Relatively homogeneous bed material, as compared to reference reaches.	Functioning At-Risk	Varying size bed material will be incorporated into channels.	Functioning (improved)

LEVEL AND CATEGORY	PARAMETER	MEASUREMENT METHOD	MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	EXISTING CONDITION OF REFERENCE REACHES		EXISTING CONDITION OF EXISTING PREDICTED POS REACHES CONDITION (PRO		PREDICTED POST-RESTORATION	
								CONDITION (PROPOSED R	OSED REACHES)
				VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING
4 – Physiochemical	Water Quality	Temperature	Field Measurements	N/A (assumed good per	Functioning	N/A (assumed good per	Functioning	To be monitored post-	Functioning
				observation)		observation)		construction.	(maintain)
		Dissolved Oxygen	Field Measurements	N/A (assumed good per	Functioning	N/A (assumed good per	Functioning	To be monitored post-	Functioning
				observation)		observation)		construction.	(maintain)





LEVEL AND CATEGORY	PARAMETER	MEASUREMENT METHOD	MEASUREMENT DESCRIPTION	EXISTING CONDITION OF REFERENCE REACHE		EXISTING CONDITION OF EXISTING REACHES		PREDICTED POST-RESTORATION CONDITION (PROPOSED REACHES)	
				VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING	VALUE	RATING
5 – Biology	Riparian Vegetation	Buffer Composition (Mean C	Field Survey	Mean C = 2.53	Functioning	Mean C = 1.11	Functioning	Mean C =	Functioning
		and FQAI)		FQAI = 23.77		FQAI = 9.53		FQAI =	(improved)
				(MCCD Non Ag Area Survey		(MCCD Ag Area Survey		To be assessed by MCCD	
				8/14/18)		8/14/18)		Survey post-construction.	
	Aquatic Macrophyte	Biological Indices (Mean C and	Field Survey	Mean C = 0.00	Not	Mean C = 1.75	Functioning	Mean C =	Functioning
	Communities	FQAI)		FQAI = 0.00	functioning	FQAI = 4.95		FQAI =	(improved)
				(MCCD Area 1 Macrophyte	_	(MCCD Area 2 Macrophyte		To be assessed by MCCD	
				Survey 7/31/18)		Survey 7/31/18)		Survey post-construction.	
	Benthic	Biological Indices (MBI)	Field Survey	N/A	N/A	Average MBI = 4.15	Functioning	MBI =	Functioning
	Macroinvertebrate					(MCCD Macroinvertebrate		To be assessed by MCCD	(improved)
	Communities					Survey 5/31/2018)		Survey post-construction.	
	Fish Communities	Biological Indices (IBI)	Field Survey	N/A	N/A	IBI = 9	Functioning	IBI =	Functioning
						(MCCD Fish Survey		To be assessed by MCCD	(improved)
						6/13/2017)		Survey post-construction.	



Project Design and Permitting

- Hydraulic Stability
- Hydrologic Impact
- Sediment Movement
- Regulatory Requirements





Project Design and Permitting





Project Design and Permitting









Erosion Control: Phasing Vegetated Site Channel basins

Floodplain Construction Flagging







Seed, E-mat, Stockpiles















https://www.mccdistrict.org/learn experience/behind_the_scenic_views/wetland_and_stream_mitigation_bank.php



Project Successes!



Questions?

Dave Kraft, PE, CFM <u>dkraft@heyassoc.com</u> 608.217.4788

Gabe Powers <u>Gpowers@mccdistrict.com</u> 815.338.6223



