

Transportation Resilience for Northeastern Illinois

Geosyntec[▶]

consultants

IAFSM 2024

March 12, 2024





Geosyntec Consultants

Agenda

- 1. Project overview
- 2. Vulnerability assessment
- 3. Flood exposure analysis
- 4. Looking ahead







Transportation resilience improvement plan

Identify opportunities to improve the resilience of the transportation network to extreme weather and climate change.

Timeline: Feb 2023 – Dec 2025 Consultants: ICF, Geosyntec, High Street





What is the transportation network?

Geosyntec[▷]

consultants syntec Consultants of Michigan

Physical infrastructure

Service operations

User experience





How will we increase resilience?

Identify and prioritize major vulnerable transportation assets. Identify and prioritize investments to build resilience and reduce climate risks.

Propose equitable and inclusive resilience investments.

3

4 In t c r







Vulnerability assessment



Priority hazards and transportation impacts





Extreme heat



Severe storms



Roads, bridges, culverts, rail tracks & stations, and bicycle and pedestrian facilities as well as all users



Rail tracks, transit riders, bicyclists and pedestrians



Transit users, bicyclists, and pedestrians











What we're hearing

Access to critical facilities, like hospitals, is important

Transit agencies don't have control over the streets they rely on

Underpasses are the first to flood

Transit-dependent riders are inconvenienced most (older adults and people with disabilities)

Culverts shouldn't be overlooked – lots of deferred maintenance

Roads are a significant source of runoff and cause stream levels to spike

Stormwater departments would benefit from knowing where roads flood

Water on the road is better than in people's basements

Must keep rural roads passable for emergency vehicles





What we're seeing





Photo credit: Chicago Tribune, July 2, 2023.



Geosyntec[▷]

consultants Geosyntec Consultants of Michiga



What is the asset's current and future exposure to flooding?

Who does the asset serve? Who is impacted by

How important is the asset to

Flood exposure analysis



Flooding risks due to climate change

Problem Statement: What areas in the region are at greatest risk of increased flooding due to climate change in the year 2050?

Objective: Identify highest risk areas to help prioritize locations for further assessment

- Cost and data limitations to pursue traditional approach of developing individual hydrology & hydraulic (H&H) models
- Innovative approach was sought to develop a comprehensive model that integrates H&H at a regional scale to quantify changes in inundation.





HEC-RAS 2D Rain-on-Grid to identify potential impacts

HEC-RAS 2D with Rain-on-Grid (RoG) integrates hydrology and hydraulics into a single model

- Uses high-resolution LiDAR topography to directly represent the underlying terrain to perform the hydraulic computations while accounting for spatial variability in the rainfall across the landscape
- Provides a seamless transfer of precipitation to calculate runoff and perform hydraulic computations to map flood inundation
- Provides means to compare two sets of data to understance the potential climate change risks to critical transportation infrastructure in northeastern Illinois



Boundary Conditions Precipitation: 1 pct Inflow: None

Boundary Conditions Precipitation: None Inflow: 1 pct Stream inflows: 1 pct



Stream Inflows



Building the model – defining model boundaries

MCHENRY LAKE KANE DUPAGE COOK KENDALL FiveCounties Proj 0709 HUC8 Model Area 6 0712 HUC8 Model Area 1 0712_HUC8_Model Area 2 0712_HUC8_Model_Area_3 0712_HUC8_Model_Area_4

0404 HUC8 Model Area 5

Model Domains	Subdivided 2D Modeling Areas	Area (ac)
Madal Area 1	Model 1A Lower Fox	325,254
Would Area 1	Model 1B Upper Fox	395,228
Model Area 2	Model 2 Kankakee	236,257
Model Area 3	Model 3A Chicago South	190,758
	Model 3B Chicago North	171,543
Model Area 4	Model 4A Lower Des Plaines	619,107
	Model 4B Upper Des Plaines	319,685
Model Area 5	Model 5 Lake Michigan	63,285
Model Area 6	Model 6 Kishwaukee	283,774

Developing more manageable modeling areas



Building the model – model inputs

2D Riverine Models apply boundary conditions with flow hydrographs for defined riverine systems



Geosyntec[▷] Geosyntec Consultants of Michigan

Scenario

Existing Condition

100-year Rainfall Depth (in)	500-year Rainfall Depth (in)
8.57	11.24
9.10	11.93



2D Rain-on-Grid models apply precipitation hydrographs across the entire 2D Perimeter of interest and runoff flows across terrain





Building the model - land cover and infiltration



the second se	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
A CARLEN AND A CARLEN	
	上。這個問題
A LAST NO	
	- Car
	1 M
DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRI	and the state of the
And and a second second	
A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL	
AND AND A REAL PROPERTY AND	A STATUTE
an particular	ST
	and the second
Contract of Contract of	- Litt
	7 Second
	- 1 - 1 - 1
	1. 加度
THE STATISTICS STORES FOR THE STORES	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
TANKED BUILDER	
Ne and Ba	
18	
TE A	
wer Des Plaines HEC.	RAS Rog
wer Des Plaines HEC- Summary - Existing 10	RAS RoG 00-year
wer Des Plaines HEC. Summary - Existing 10	RAS Rog Do-year
er Des Plaines HEC Summary - Existing 10 Conding Depth MAP Service Area	RAS Rog D0-year
wer Des Plaines HEC Bummary - Existing 10 Bonding Depth MAP Service Area	RAS RoG D0-year
wr Des Plaines HEC- Conding Depth Conding Depth Conding Depth Conding Depth Conding Depth Conding Depth	RAS RoG 00-year
wer Des Plaines HEC- bummary - Existing 1 conding Depth conding Depth co	RAS RoG 00-year Figure
wer Des Plaines HEC- Bornary - Existing the Conding Depth OMAP Service Area	RAS RoG D0-year Figure 5

Modeled existing 100-year (max depth)



Modeled climate change RCP 8.5 100-year (max depth)



Potential flooding impacts on infrastructure





Limitations of model and areas for improvement

Terrain – model terrain was not hydraulically corrected (i.e., streams were not burned into the DEM and sinks were not filled)

Complex waterways – hydraulic structures (bridges and culverts) were not modeled within the riverine system

Sewer system capacities – modeling analysis did not include existing separate and combined sewer system infrastructure







Limitations of model and areas for improvement

Isolated model instability – isolated instances across the modeling area where the sudden tranition from later 2D mesh cell sizes to smaller sizes causes higher-than-expected waters depths based on the number of faces for the given cell

Model boundaries and major tributaries – model boundaries and major tributaries were cut at county and state boundaries where the HUC boundary cross the 7-county region









Looking ahead

Data

- Publish risk scores (Summer 2024)
- AGOL dashboard and/or StoryMap (TBD)
- Explore use of flood analysis data for planning efforts

Coordination

- Increase resilience benefits of transportation improvements
- Collaborate on funding opportunities





Geosyntec Consultants

Thank you

Kate Evasic, CMAP kevasic@cmap.illinois.gov

Lee Hauser, Geosyntec LHauser@Geosyntec.com



